

Issues in Disaster Simulation for Recovery (and Resilience) Planning

Laurie A. Johnson PhD FAICP Rodrigo Costa PhD

> SimCenter Workshop January 30, 2020

Disaster Recovery is not an "ordered, knowable process," but rather a complex process in which an array of actors make decisions and take actions in order to achieve stability within the self-organizing, complex, adaptive systems that are communities.



Time compression—a compression of urban development activities in time (e.g. 50 years -> 5 years) and space—is what distinguishes recovery from normal urban development.

(Olshansky, Hopkins, & Johnson, 2012)





Recovery Facilitators/Impediments come from pre-existing conditions, disaster impacts and post-disaster policy/conditions. Example: New Orleans' Recovery Assessment (1+ year after Hurricane Katrina)

- Population
- Flood Protection
- Funding
 - Housing
 - Education
 - Infrastructure
 - Public Safety
 - Healthcare
 - Transportation
 - Economic Development
 - Public Facilities
 - Historic Preservation
 - Culture





City of New Orleans Post-Katrina Activity Index by Block, November 2006



Pace of Repopulation (Nov 2006 – 15 months after Hurricane Katrina)



Note: Index equals November, 2006 index divided by November, 2004 index



Example: UNOP Citywide Recovery Framework

Rate of

Increasing



Increasing Risk of Future Flooding

Policy Area A – Less flood risk and/or higher repopulation rates

Policy Area B – Moderate flood risk and/or moderate repopulation rates

Policy Area C – Highest flood risk and slowest repopulation rates



Recovery Implementation involves interdependent spatial, systematic, and timeline strategies (e.g. UNOP Citywide Recovery Framework)

| (Murosaki 2007) | Policy Area C | Policy Area B | Policy Area A |
|-----------------|--|---|---|
| 0 - 2 yrs | Stabilize neighborhoods and | Help returning residents and | Ensure residents can fund |
| | help rebuild together safely Use modular or temporary | businesses with elevation Repair major infrastructure Use modular or temporary facilities | individual flood protection Accommodate additional residents |
| | facilities to provide full coverage | to provide full coverage | and businesses Repair major infrastructure Restore permanent facilities |
| 2 - 5 yrs | Continue neighborhood | Help slow-recovery neighborhoods | Improve infrastructure to spur |
| | stabilization Invest in permanent | rebuild together Improve infrastructure scalable to | revitalization and accommodate |
| | infrastructure Re-vision public services and | population and resettlement Re-vision public services and | additional population Initiate re-visioning of public |
| | amenities | amenities | services and amenities |
| > 5 yrs | Complete reconstruction and revision of services and amenities | Complete reconstruction and revision of services and amenities | Complete reconstruction and re- vision of public services and amenities |





SCIENCE APPLICATION FOR RISK REDUCTION



Magnitude 7 earthquake on the Hayward Fault



HayWired Scenario – Communities-at-risk Analysis

(Johnson, Jones, Wein, and Peters, 2020 (in press))

- Integrated Building Damage and Areas of Concentrated Damage Identify areas of concentrated damage by combining the Hazus estimates of building damage resulting from earthquake shaking, landslide and liquefaction with the fire following building damage
- Population Movements and Vulnerabilities– Consider studies of short- and long-term population displacement following large disasters and analyze displacement risk using a range of methods areas of concentrated damage, socioeconomic vulnerability, families with school-age children, disabled and homeless populations, young-mobile-renter households, sheltering and interim housing resources, and utility disruptions
- Long-Term Community Recovery Challenges– Explore 3 key challenges for communities and residents: limited insurance availability and time required to assemble recovery funding resources, repair and replacement of damaged housing units, and areas requiring substantial governmental intervention and replanning in order to recover.
- Policy Implications for Community Resilience Highlight the spatial and systematic approaches needed to build community resilience and truly realize the community-wide benefit of resilience investments





Vallejo Novato Sal Pablo Bav San Rafael Richmond

HayWired Scenario Communities-at-risk Analysis: Areas of concentrated damage

(Johnson, Jones, Wein, and Peters, 2020 (in press))

1 million residential buildings damaged (= 1.37 million housing in Units or ullet1/3 of the region's housing stock) Percentage of all building 100,000 residential buildings with extensive or complete damage complete











HayWired Scenario Communitiesat-risk Analysis: Potential population displacement and vulnerabilities

(Johnson, Jones, Wein, and Peters, 2020 (in press))



152,000 – 520,000 households, or 400,000 – 1.45 million people at risk of displacement
 >350,000 people reside in areas of concentrated damage and high socioeconomic vulnerabilities

Total vulnerablility indicators by county



HayWired Scenario Communities-at-risk Analysis: Typology of areas requiring substantial governmental intervention and re-planning (Areas with ground failure, concentrated building and utility damage, and high socioeconomic vulnerabilities)

(Johnson, Jones, Wein, and Peters, 2020 (in press))

Example: Areas with concentrations of residential, household-serving and nonresidential damage (>60%) and high socioeconomic vulnerabilities)





HayWired Scenario Communities-at-risk Analysis:

Opportunities to Improve Community Resilience

(Johnson, Jones, Wein, and Peters, 2020 (in press))

- 1. Accelerate the seismic mitigation of homes
- 2. Strengthen or replace infrastructure
- 3. Build more new housing for all income groups
- 4. Promote seismic resilience in land use and development policies across the region
- 5. Address population movements and long-term displacement in local, regional and state preparedness, response, and recovery plans
- 6. Plan for the management of long-term recovery at all levels of government
- 7. Develop a recovery financing strategy for the region



Development and Application of a Computer Simulation Framework for Assessing Disaster Recovery

Rodrigo Costa, Ph.D.

SimCenter Workshop January 30, 2020

Framework for Disaster Recovery Modelling

Application: M7.3 earthquake near Vancouver, CA





Damaged dwellings, 0 years



Damaged dwellings, 1 year



Damaged dwellings, 2 years



Displaced persons



Public sheltering needs



Population loss after 2 years



Understanding the Impact

Evaluate Mitigation Actions



Model disaster recovery planning guidance is also taking a more holistic, community-systems view, but does not adequately address process management, governance and system interdependencies.

DIRE Strategic Planning for Recovery

Re

Director's Guideline for Civil Defence Emergency Management Groups [DGL 20/17]

New Zealand

- Built environment
- Social environment
- Economic environment
- Natural environment
- Institutional environment

- Housing
- Infrastructure Systems
- Economic Recovery
- Health and Social Services
- Natural and Cultural Resources
- Planning
- Public Information and Warning
- Operational Coordination



National Disaster Recovery Framework

Second Edition June 2016

Contract Homeland Security

United States



M6.3 Earthquake, Christchurch New Zealand February 22, 2011 12:51 pm

Dust cloud rising as building collapse in downtown Christchurch NZ on 2/22/11 Photo: Gilly Needham





Key

Technical Category 1 Future land damage from liquefaction is unlikely.

Technical Category 2 Minor to moderate land damage from liquefaction is possible in future significant earthquakes.

Technical Category 3 Moderate to significant land damage from liquefaction is possible in future significant earthquakes.

N/A - Urban Nonresidential

N/A - Rural & Unmapped

Port Hills & Banks Peninsula

Orange Zone Further assessment required.

Red Zone

Land repair would be prolonged and uneconomic.

CBD Cordon and Redevelopment

Zoom to areas



Time. Remember there is no clear endpoint to recovery; at some point recovery policy is subsumed by normal urban development policy and actions. Furthermore, recovery and resilience needs/ opportunities have interdependencies and change with time.





Value/Challenges of Disaster Simulation for Recovery and Resilience Planning (1981 – 1987

- Provide plausible futures to use in planning for emergency response as well as recovery/rebuilding
- Help evaluate benefits/costs of mitigation options and resilience investments
- Inform land use, building and infrastructure standards
- Need to consider societal dimensions (e.g. socioeconomic conditions, cultural values, issues of equity, political context)
- Need to be open and transparent, accessible to a range of audiences and users
- Need to be timely (especially post-disaster) and consider the elements of time and multi-hazard (i.e. shocks and stresses)







Thank You

Laurie A. Johnson PhD FAICP laurie@lauriejohnsonconsulting.com

Rodrigo Costa PhD rccosta@stanford.edu